

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 10/766,928	01/30/2004	Toshiyuki Fukuoka	1359.1087	3959
21171 7590 03/22/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			TERMANINI, SAMIR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/766,928	FUKUOKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Samir Termanini	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> .					
,	·					
•—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.Б.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/2004.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application				

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### BACKGROUND

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: Application filed on 1/30/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 15, and 16 are in independent form.
- 3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 3/10/2004 has been acknowledged and considered by the examiner. The Initial copy of form PTO-1449 is included in this office action.
- 4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted on 1/30/2004 under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 1-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-14 provide for a dialog control system, but, since the claim does not set forth any physical articles or objects to constitute a machine, it appears applicant is intending to encompass functional descriptive material (i.e. software *per se*).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,928 Page 3

Art Unit: 2178

Accordingly, the subject matter of claim 1-14 is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter.

- 7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter, and further raises questions as to whether the claim is directed to an abstract idea. More specifically, the claim lacks the necessary physical articles or objects to constitute a machine or a manufacture within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 101. It is clearly not a series of steps or acts, to be a process, nor is it a combination of chemical compounds to be a composition of matter. Claim 16 is a computer program claimed a computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of programs because the "program product" limitation recited, in claims 16, is not limited to those that are "computer readable" (e.g. the claimed "program product" could be a piece of paper) and likewise does not define any structural and functional interrelationship between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. Therefore, claim 16, being directed toward computer listings per se, fail to fall within a statutory category.
- 8. For the purposes of examination, claim 16 is being examined as if it was instead directed toward subject matter claimed as embodied on a <u>computer-readable</u> medium.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,928 Page 4

Art Unit: 2178

## CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barbara Hayes-Roth (US 2002/0005865 A1).

As to independent claim 1, Barbara Hayes-Roth describe(s): A dialog control system, comprising: an input part for interpreting input information input by a user ("...receiving from the author content ...," para. [0012]); a dialog agent for responding to the input information ("...dialogue delivered by the agent...," para. [0012]); and a dialog control part placed between the dialog agent and the input part ("...actual context that occurs during operation of the agent and that matches the potential context...," para. [0012]), for identifying a plurality of the dialog agents ("...agents...," para. [0003]), transmitting the input information to the dialog agent to request a response to the input information ("...what the Imp Character will say in response...," para. [0064]), and transmitting a response from the dialog agent to an output part ("...Character will respond with the related piece of dialog...," para. [0078]), wherein, when the input information is input ("...USER INPUT...," para. [0045]), the dialog control part inquires

Art Unit: 2178

about processable information with respect to the plurality of dialog agents, stores the processable information ("...track and store various items of information...," para. [0144]), matches the input information with the processable information ("...Examples of Matches between Actual Contexts and Potential Contexts of the Agent Izzy ...," para. [0061]), selects the dialog agent capable of processing the input information ("...processing unit...," para. [0390]), and transmits the input information to the selected dialog agent to receive a response thereto ("...an internal event or state of the agent, or an input from a different computer-controlled process...," para. [0013]).

As to dependent claim 2, which depends from claim 1, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 1, wherein the dialog control part previously stores identification information of the dialog agents and selection priority of the dialog agents so that the identification information is associated with the selection priority ("...what is stored in a database from a previous interaction...," para. [0128]), refers to the dialog agents in a decreasing order of the selection priority when referring to the input information and the processable information ("...Log cues are preconditions that are used to help catalog behaviors and topics of interest as they occur in real interactions...," para. [0129]), and transmits the input information to the first selected dialog agent to request a response to the input information ("...receiving from the author content for the agent in the potential context...," para. [0012]).

As to dependent claim 3, which depends from claim 2, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 2, wherein the dialog

Art Unit: 2178

control part accumulates identification information of the dialog agent selected as a transmission destination of the input information ("...be identified by the user or for the user...," para. [0013]), refers to the first stored dialog agent when selecting the subsequent dialog agent ("...storing...," para. [0012]), in a case where the stored dialog agent is capable of processing the input information ("...processing unit...," para. [0390]), transmits the input information to the stored dialog agent to request a response to the input information, and in a case where the stored dialog agent is not capable of processing the input information ("...uses the content to control the behavior of the agent in an actual context that occurs during operation of the agent and that matches the potential context...," para. [0012]), refers to the dialog agents in a decreasing order of the selection priority ("...decrease) in the agent's mood or user's assumed mood by a specified qualitative magnitude...," para. [0386]).

As to dependent claim 4, which depends from claim 2, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 2, wherein the selection priority of the dialog agent is automatically updated in accordance with a use frequency ("...wording will occur with some frequency ...," para. [0178]).

As to dependent claim 5, which depends from claim 3, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 3, wherein the selection priority of the dialog agent is automatically updated in accordance with a use frequency ("...one that remembers one-word answers and another that remembers two-word answers (the two-word pattern should be more important than the one word pattern)...," para. [0377]).

As to dependent claim 6, which depends from claim 2, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 2, wherein, in the dialog control part ("...computer-controlled agent...," para. [0012]), the control agents to be referred to are narrowed in accordance with contents of the input information ("...narrow it down further...," para. [0273]), and the narrowed dialog agents are referred to in a decreasing order of the selection priority ("...generic help response ...," para. [0273]).

As to dependent claim 7, which depends from claim 3, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 3, wherein, in the dialog control part ("...Natural Language Generation ...," para. [0078]), the control agents to be referred to are narrowed in accordance with contents of the input information ("...narrow it down further...," para. [0273]), and the narrowed dialog agents are referred to in a decreasing order of the selection priority ("...decrease) in the agent's mood or user's assumed mood by a specified qualitative magnitude...," para. [0386]).

As to dependent claim 8, which depends from claim 4, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 4, wherein, in the dialog control part ("...Natural Language Generation ...," para. [0078]), the control agents to be referred to are narrowed in accordance with contents of the input information ("...narrow it down further...," para. [0273]), and the narrowed dialog agents are referred to in a decreasing order of the selection priority ("...weighted at

178,507 while the Ecstatic line would be weighted at 849,347, so the Ecstatic line would be about 5 times more likely to be selected...," para. [0113]).

As to dependent claim 9, which depends from claim 1, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 1, wherein the dialog control part stores the identification information of the dialog agent determined to be available based on the processable information on a basis of the dialog agents ("...identifies a potential context of an agent to an author, receives input from the author, and stores the content in a database...," para. [0064]), and the dialog control part inquires about the processable information with respect to only the dialog agent determined to be available ("...If two lines of dialog for Happy and Ecstatic were available, the Happy line in this case would be weighted at 178,507 ...," para. [0113]).

As to dependent claim 10, which depends from claim 2, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 2, wherein the dialog control part includes a user information input part for inputting information for identifying a user ("...identifying to an author a potential context of the agent...," para. [0012]), stores input information for identifying the user and information on a state using the dialog agent including the selection priority on a user basis ("...storing the content such that it can be accessed by a run-time system that uses the content to control the behavior of the agent in an actual context that occurs during operation of the agent and that matches the potential context...," para. [0012]), and performs processing in accordance with the selection priority on a user basis ("...178,507 while the Ecstatic line would be weighted at 849,347, so the Ecstatic line would be about 5

Art Unit: 2178

times more likely to be selected. These numbers change depending on what was selected on the Specificity Scaler. If Most Specific Only is selected, the ImpEngine will only select the line with the greatest specificity...," para. [0113]).

As to dependent claim 11, which depends from claim 3, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 3, wherein the dialog control part includes a user information input part for inputting information for identifying a user ("...identifying to an author a potential context of the agent...," para. [0012]), stores input information for identifying the user and information on a state using the dialog agent including the selection priority on a user basis ("...178,507 while the Ecstatic line would be weighted at 849,347, so the Ecstatic line would be about 5 times more likely to be selected...," para. [0113]), and performs processing in accordance with the selection priority on a user basis ("...These numbers change depending on what was selected on the Specificity Scaler. If Most Specific Only is selected, the ImpEngine will only select the line with the greatest specificity...," para. [0113]).

As to dependent claim 12, which depends from claim 4, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 4, wherein the dialog control part includes a user information input part for inputting information for identifying a user ("...identifying to an author a potential context of the agent...," para. [0012]), stores input information for identifying the user and information on a state using the dialog agent including the selection priority on a user basis ("...178,507 while the Ecstatic line would be weighted at 849,347, so the Ecstatic line would be about 5 times more likely to be selected...," para. [0113]), and performs processing in accordance

with the selection priority on a user basis ("...These numbers change depending on what was selected on the Specificity Scaler. If Most Specific Only is selected, the ImpEngine will only select the line with the greatest specificity...," para. [0113]).

As to dependent claim 13, which depends from claim 5, Barbara Hayes Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 5, wherein the dialog control part includes a user information input part for inputting information for identifying a user ("...identifying to an author a potential context of the agent...," para. [0012]), stores input information for identifying the user and information on a state using the dialog agent including the selection priority on a user basis ("...178,507 while the Ecstatic line would be weighted at 849,347, so the Ecstatic line would be about 5 times more likely to be selected...," para. [0113]), and performs processing in accordance with the selection priority on a user basis ("...These numbers change depending on what was selected on the Specificity Scaler. If Most Specific Only is selected, the ImpEngine will only select the line with the greatest specificity...," para. [0113]).

As to dependent claim 14, which depends from claim 6, Barbara Hayes-Roth further disclose(s): The dialog control system according to claim 6, wherein the dialog control part includes a user information input part for inputting information for identifying a user ("...identifying to an author a potential context of the agent...," para. [0012]), stores input information for identifying the user and information on a state using the dialog agent including the selection priority on a user basis ("... stores the content in a database...," para. [0064]), and performs processing in accordance with the selection priority on a user basis ("...weighted at 178,507 while the Ecstatic line would

be weighted at 849,347, so the Ecstatic line would be about 5 times more likely to be selected...," para. [0113]).

As to independent claim 15, Barbara Hayes Roth describe(s): A dialog control method, comprising: inquiring about processable information with respect to a plurality of dialog agents making responses corresponding to input information ("...an agent Izzy based on values of two state variables, IZZY MOOD and USER INPUT...," para. [0045]), and storing obtained processable information ("...stores the content in a database...," para. [0064]); interpreting input information input by a user ("...USER INPUT...," para. [0045]); matching the input information with the processable information ("...agent matches a given potential context of the agent if a value of a state variable in the given actual context matches a value of a corresponding state variable in the given potential context...," para. [0043]), selecting the dialog agent capable of processing the input information, and transmitting the input information to the selected dialog agent to request a response to the input information ("...the character will "see" the longer keyword, and respond...," para. [0148]); and receiving the response from the dialog agent and outputting it.

As to independent claim 16, Barbara Hayes-Roth describe(s): A program product storing a program on a recording medium, the program allowing a computer to execute the operations of: inquiring about processable information with respect to a plurality of dialog agents making responses corresponding to input information ("...identifies a potential context of an agent to an author...," para. [0064]), and storing obtained processable information ("...the content information ...," para. [0038]); interpreting

Art Unit: 2178

input information input by a user ("...to recognize a wide variety of keywords and patterns...," para. [0144]); matching the input information with the processable information ("...Examples of Matches between Actual Contexts and Potential Contexts of the Agent Izzy 3 AC1 matches PC1 --- PC3 --- AC2 matches --- PC2 PC3 --- AC3 matches...," para. [0061]), selecting the dialog agent capable of processing the input information ("...The entered content is stored in an authoring database 18, which is processed ...," para. [0388]), and transmitting the input information to the selected dialog agent to request a response to the input information ("...the character will "see" the longer keyword, and respond...," para. [0148]); and receiving the response from the dialog agent and outputting it ("...Creating responses ...," para. [0009]).

#### CONCLUSION

11. Although not relied upon, the following prior art is made of record because it considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Schoneburg, Eberhard et al.	US 20020133347 A	Method and apparatus for natural language dialog interface.
Brown, Geoffrey Parker	US 20040147324 A	1 Contextually accurate dialogue modeling in an online environment.
Costigan, Thomas J. et al.		1 Communications System and Method.
Kim, Sang Seol et al.	US 20020042713 A	1 Toy having speech recognition function and two-way conversation for dialogue partner
Scholz; Karl Wilmer et al.	US 7024348 B1	Dialogue flow interpreter development tool
Comerford; Liam David et al.	US 6748361 B1	Personal speech assistant supporting a dialog manager
Bloom; Charles P. et al.	US 5597312 A	Intelligent tutoring method and system
Amirghodsi; Siamak et al.	US 4974191 A	Adaptive natural language computer interface system
Best; Robert M.	US 4305131 A	Dialog between TV movies and human viewers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Samir Termanini whose telephone number is (571) 270-

Art Unit: 2178

1047. The Examiner can normally be reached from 9 A.M. to 4 P.M., Monday through

Friday (excluding alternating Fridays).

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Stephen S. Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA

OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 13

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Samir Termanini

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2178